

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/033,743	12/28/2001	K.S. Narayan	2003624-0001	8961
24280 7.	590 06/03/2005		EXAM	INER
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART LLP EXCHANGE PLACE			QUINTO, KEVIN V	
53 STATE STI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA	A 02109		2826	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.    10/033,743			Ē
Art Unit Kevin Quinto  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address riod for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extendence of the exploration of THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extendence of the exploration of the profession of 37 CFR 1,138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 3X; (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified delove is less than this (30) stays, a reply within the statistory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is appetited above, the maximum statistory period will explore your will explore (50) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified delove is less than this (30) stays, a reply within the statistory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified delove is less than this (30) stays, a reply within the statistory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified delove is less than this (30) stays are period to reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of this communication, aven if timely filed, may reduce any search present and statistic communication.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of this communication, aven if timely filed, may reduce any search place that the present and the maximum of timely filed, may reduce any search place that the present and the maximum of timely filed, may reduce any search place that the present and the maximum of timely filed, may reduce any search place that the present and the maximum of the maximu	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Newin Quinto   2826		10/033,743	NARAYAN, K.S.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address— riod reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  a the SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  a the SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  a the SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  a the SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  a the period for reply sepelided above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  a the SK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  B No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  B No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory specified will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  B No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory specified will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  B No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory specified will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  A Department of the maximum statutory specified above, the maximum statutory is specified above, the maximum statutory specified above, the max	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In one event, however, may a raply be timely filled after 50 K; (6) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30), days will be considered timely.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30), days will be considered timely.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than three months after the mailing date of the statutory minimum of thiny (30), days will be considered timely.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than three months after the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or excended period for reply will, by statutic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. 6, 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patient term edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - State of this communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  - Sposition of Claims  - 4) Claim(s) 17-19.21-23 and 28 is/are pending in the application.  - 4a) Of the above claim(s)			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after \$1x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will explice \$1x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will explice \$1x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pallure to revolvish the set or exply will, by attack, cause the application to become ABANCONED (36 1x 5133). Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search polent turn adjustment. See 97 CFR 1.704(b).  This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under £x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  position of Claims  4) Claim(s) 17-19.21-23 and 28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 23 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 17-19.21.22 and 28 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Oli The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Pority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies		tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
This action is FINAL.   2b)   This action is non-final.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  position of Claims  4) Claim(s) 17-19,21-23 and 28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 23 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 17-19,21,22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to post to restriction and/or election requirement.  10) The papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tus		
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  5position of Claims  4) Claim(s) 17-19.21-23 and 28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 23 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 17-19.21.22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on 18 March 2005.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  **position of Claims**  4) Claim(s) 17-19.21-23 and 28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	· <u> </u>	<u> </u>	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  **position of Claims  4) Claim(s) 17-19.21-23 and 28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 23 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 17-19.21,22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  **plication Papers**  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  **ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119*  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			ters, prosecution as to the merits is
A) Claim(s) 17-19.21-23 and 28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5] Claim(s) 23 is/are allowed. 6] Claim(s) 17-19.21.22 and 28 is/are rejected. 7] Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Plication Papers  9] The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11] The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  12] Aknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		· ·	•
4) Claim(s) 17-19,21-23 and 28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 23 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 17-19,21,22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5] □ Claim(s) 23 is/are allowed.  6] □ Claim(s) 17-19.21.22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7] □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8] □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Plication Papers  9] □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10] □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11] □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  12] □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All   b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· _	iding in the application	
Claim(s) 23 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 17-19,21,22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  plication Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		- ' '	
Claim(s) 17-19,21,22 and 28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  plication Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		miliarami nom gonolagialion.	•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Plication Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ected.	
plication Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement.	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication Papers		
The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  The oath or declaration is objected t	_	xaminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			by the Examiner.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			-
ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			* *
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol>		foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		cuments have been received.	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in A	Application No
• • •	3. Copies of the certified copies of t	he priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
	* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not	received.
	achment(s)		

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Attachment(s)

**Period for Reply** 

**Disposition of Claims** 

**Application Papers** 

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Status

Application/Control Number: 10/033,743

Art Unit: 2826

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, and 28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 17, 19, 21, 22, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tessler et al. (USPN 6,603,139 B1) in view of Bao et al., ("Soluble processable regioregular poly(3-hexylthiophene) for thin film field-effect transistor applications with high mobility," Applied Physics Letters 69 (26), p.4108-4110, 1996) and further in view of Yu et al. (USPN 6,303,943 B1) and further in view of Nishizawa (USPN 4,613,881).
- 4. In reference to claims 17, 21, 22, and 28, Tessler et al. (USPN 6,603,139 B1, hereinafter referred to as the "Tessler" reference) discloses a similar device. Figure 13 of Tessler discloses a photosensing organic field effect transistor with a gate electrode (56). Tessler makes it clear that a glass substrate may be used (column 11, lines 31-35). There is an electrically insulating layer (54) with a first side and a second side on

Application/Control Number: 10/033,743

Art Unit: 2826

the gate electrode (56). The first side is adjacent to the gate electrode (56). A semiconducting polymer layer (57) is formed on the second side of the gate electrode (14). Tessler discloses (column 12, lines 36-44) that the semiconducting polymer layer (57) can be poly (3-octylthiophene) as well as poly (3-hexylthiophene) but does not disclose the use of a polymer layer which is regioregular polyalkylthiophene with 98.5% head-to-tail regiospecific conformation. However the use of such a material in field effect transistors is well known in the art. Bao et al., ("Soluble processable regionegular poly(3-hexylthiophene) for thin film field-effect transistor applications with high mobility." Applied Physics Letters 69 (26), p.4108-4110, 1996, hereinafter referred to as the "Bao" reference) discloses that a polymer layer made of a regionegular polyalkylthiophene with 98.5% head-to-tail regiospecific conformation has the advantages of better ordering (p.4108, right column). Bao discloses that good ordering leads to high transistor performance (p.4108, left column). In view of Bao, it would therefore be obvious to use a polymer layer which is a regioregular polyalkylthiophene with 98.5% head-to-tail regiospecific conformation in the device of Tessler in order to obtain the benefit of high transistor performance. Tessler does not disclose the use of an additional polymer matrix with the semiconducting polymer layer. However the use of an additional polymer with organic semiconductors is well known in the art. Yu et al. (USPN 6,303,943 B1, hereinafter referred to as the "Yu" reference) discloses that the use of an additional polymer matrix such as buckminsterfullerene or its derivatives enhances photosensitivity (column 3, lines 9-19). Furthermore, high sensitivity is known to be a desirable quality in phototransistors (Nishizawa, USPN 4,613,881, column 1, lines 15Application/Control Number: 10/033,743

Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

24). In view of Yu and Nishizawa, it would therefore be obvious to use an additional polymer matrix with the semiconducting polymer layer in the device of Tessler. The manufacturing process used to produce the device of figure 13 meets the fabrication method of described in claims 17, 21, and 22. Tessler does not disclose the use of a semi-transparent gate. However the applicant is reminded in this regard that it has been held that mere selection of known materials generally understood to be suitable to make a device, the selection of the particular material being on the basis of suitability for the intended use, would be entirely obvious. In re Leshin 125 USPQ 416. As for the claimed use (claim 28), a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Therefore claim 28 is not patentably distinguishable over the Tessler reference.

5. With regard to claim 19, Tessler discloses the use of a silicon dioxide insulating layer with a thickness of 2300 Angstroms (column 7, lines 10-12). Haven et al. (USPN 6,215,241 B1) discloses that a silicon dioxide film having a thickness between 500 and 5000 Angstroms is transparent (column 8, lines 20-25). Thus Tessler meets the limitation of the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,743 Page 5

Art Unit: 2826

6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tessler et al. (USPN 6,603,139 B1) in view of in view of Bao et al., ("Soluble processable regioregular poly(3-hexylthiophene) for thin film field-effect transistor applications with high mobility," Applied Physics Letters 69 (26), p.4108-4110, 1996) and further in view of Yu et al. (USPN 6,303,943 B1) and further in view of Nishizawa (USPN 4,613,881) as applied to claim 17 above and further in view of Garnier et al. (USPN 5,347,144).

- 7. In reference to claim 18, Tessler does not disclose the use of a polymeric media as the gate insulator. However the use of a polymeric media as a gate insulator is well known in the art. Garnier et al. (USPN 5,347,144, hereinafter referred to as the "Garnier" reference) discloses that polyvinyl alcohol (a polymeric media) is a desirable gate insulator since it has a sufficiently high dielectric constant that allows an improved quality of carriers (column 2, lines 43-58). In view of Garnier, it would therefore be obvious to use polyvinyl alcohol as the gate insulator in the device of Tessler.
- 8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tessler et al. (USPN 6,603,139 B1) in view of in view of Bao et al., ("Soluble processable regioregular poly(3-hexylthiophene) for thin film field-effect transistor applications with high mobility," Applied Physics Letters 69 (26), p.4108-4110, 1996) and further in view of Yu et al. (USPN 6,303,943 B1) and further in view of Nishizawa (USPN 4,613,881) as applied to claim 17 above and further in view of Aratani et al. (USPN 5,705,826).
- 9. In reference to claim 18, Tessler does not disclose the use of a polymeric media as the gate insulator. However the use of a polymeric media as a gate insulator is well known in the art. Aratani et al. (USPN 5,705,826, hereinafter referred to as the "Aratani"

Art Unit: 2826

reference) discloses that polymethyl methacrylate (a polymeric media) is a desirable gate insulator when used in conjunction with an organic semiconductor since the same process may be used to fabricate both the gate insulator and the organic semiconductor (column 8, lines 44-57). In view of Aratani, it would therefore be obvious to use polymethyl methacrylate as the gate insulator in the device of Tessler.

## Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 23 is allowed.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Quinto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1920. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2826

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**KVQ** 

NATHAN J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800